

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,822		07/23/2003	Mitihiko Takase	10873.574USD1	2533	
23552	7590	03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
		OULD PC	TSAI, H JEY			
P.O. BOX 2 MINNEAP		N 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	<b>,</b>			2812		
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/10/200	DATE MAIL ED: 03/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,822	TAKASE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	H.Jey Tsai	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY PROPERTY OF THE MAILING DATE OF TH	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a still the status of the status.	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n					
, <u> </u>	☑ This action is non-final.		•			
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-21</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>9-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by</li> </ul>	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyon correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-90)</li> </ol>		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of braitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (176-7)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/23/3,2/9/4.	C	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,822

Art Unit: 2812

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogura et al. 4,707,059.

Ogura et al. discloses a method for producing a surface acoustic wave device comprising:

- (a) forming a first interdigital transducer and a second interdigital transducer (7, 46, an array of IDTs) on a piezoelectric substrate (LiNbO<sub>3</sub>) 31 so that the first and second interdigital transducers 7,46 are opposed to each other, see figs. 1 and 4A-4D and col. 3, lines 56-68, col. 5, lines 2-5,
- (b) forming a doping region 42 in a surface between the first and second interdigital transducers 46 by doping the surface of the substrate with a substance in at least one form selected from the group consisting of atoms, molecules and clusters before or after the process (a), col. 4, lines 15-52, wherein the substrate is doped with the substance is an ion.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,822

Art Unit: 2812

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-16, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103 as being unpatentable over Ogura et al. as applied to claims 9 and 17 above, and further in view of Nishihara et al. 5,796,205, Sze, pages 32-33 of VLSI technology and Ohkubo et al. 5,923,231.

The difference between the references applied above and the instant claim(s) is:

Ogura teaches doping the region between the IDTs but does not teach depth of doping.

Doping density and resistance. However, Hishihara teaches at col. 4, lines 30-60, col.

5, lines 10-32 that implanting ions into piezoelectric substrate with specific energy and dose. And, Sze et al. at pages 32-33 that resistance of implanted areas is corresponding the ion dosage. And, Ohkubo et al. teaches at fig. 7 and col. 11, I lines 17-22, forming an insulating layer over the electrodes 12. The specific doping depth, ion doses and resistivity as claimed are taken to be obvious since these are variables of art recognized importance which are subject to routine experimentation and optimization and discovery of an optimum value for a known process is obvious. In re Aller, 105

USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). And, even if applicants' modification results in great improvement and utility over the prior art, it may still not be patentable if the modification was within the capabilities of one skilled in the art, In Re Sola 25 USPQ 433.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,822

Art Unit: 2812

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above references' teachings by doping the substrate with specific thickness and resistivity and cover the electrode with an insulating layer as taught by Nishihara, Sze and Ohkubo et al. because the resistivity on the substrate between the electrode changes surface acoustic waver transmission and the insulating layer protecting the electrode from moisture.

Any inquiry of a general nature or clerical matters or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service whose telephone number is (703) 308-4357.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. Jey Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1684. The examiner can normally be reached on from 7:00 Am to 4:00 Pm., Monday thru Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

hjt

3/2/2005

H. Jey Tsai

Primary Examiner
Patent Examining Group 2800